

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/660,788	Applicant(s) OHURA, KOUSEI	
	Examiner Tony H. Winner	Art Unit 3611	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Tony H. Winner. (3) _____
 (2) Mr. Warren H. Burnam, Jr.. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 5/12/05

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: Claims 1 and 13.

Identification of prior art discussed: U.S. pub 2002/0153693 A1.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant will file an amendment after final. The office reserves judgement to enter the amendment at filing..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


TONY WINNER
PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

5/12/05
 Examiner's signature, if required